

Cremona's forgotten curve


I am wary of theories about violins and violin sound. All too often the theoretician ends up maintaining that one particular focus of interest is the only significant feature of an instrument and all other aspects of what is really a complex and interdependent mechanism hold mere supporting roles.

What follows is simply something I have noticed – an observation that may or may not be related to sound, but which is interesting to me because it indicates a common system that was incorporated into the technique of the Cremonese makers without restricting their individual styles.

A cycloid curve derives its name from the Greek word for wheel, *cyclos*. Anyone who played with a spirograph as a child will know how many curves and shapes can be derived from a point within a moving circle. Included in the general category of cycloid are several more specific terms, of which the one I will be discussing is known to the mathematician as a curtate cycloid. In introducing this type of curve the exact formula is less important than the visualisation of what the curve is and how it is made; however, a mathematical formula is provided on page 1197.

Imagine a wheel resting on a straight edge. Behind it is a sheet of paper. Put a pencil through a hole in the centre of the wheel, rotate the

wheel along the straight edge and you will draw a line parallel to it. Repeat this with the pencil on a point on the edge of the wheel and you will get a pure cycloid arch (see figure 1a). But if you put the pencil through a hole that is not at the centre or the edge and roll the wheel, something like figure 1b will be traced by the pencil.




This is a curtate cycloid. A little thought will confirm that the size of the wheel determines the width of the curve and that the distance of the hole from the centre establishes the height of the curve – the further from the centre, the higher the resulting arch. By varying height and width an almost infinite variety of curves can be drawn with great precision (see figure 2), although the tools for constructing a curve of desired dimensions could not be simpler – an appropriately sized wheel and a pencil.

Could a simple system involving a wheel, a pencil and a piece of paper be the basis of the great Cremonese makers' arching designs?

Quentin Playfair investigates the curtate cycloid curve

While longitudinal arching does seem to have common features in most golden-period Cremonese instruments, I cannot find a single, common source for the longitudinal arching of either the backs or the generally flattened curve of the tables. The cross-arching area between the *f*-holes seems individual too, following a pattern more akin to a section of a cylinder than anything else. But all other cross-archings of the greatest Cremonese makers, including the Amati and Guarneri families as well as Stradivari, match curtate cycloid curves with remarkable accuracy. The obvious differences between them are the product of two choices that were the decision of the maker: first, the longitudinal arching, and consequently the height at the centre of any given cross-arch; second, the distance between the two lowest points of the arch, often found not at the purfling but at a point well inside it.

Until I started noticing a common thread, I would have been sceptical had anyone proposed that a single system could be used to embrace the majority of the classical makers' arching. Examining two instruments whose dimensions have been given in STRAD posters helps to demonstrate the system (see figure 3). The cross-arching of the upper backs of both the 1649 'Alard' Nicolò Amati (STRAD March 1992) and the 1735 Peter Guarneri of



Venice (STRAD December 1995) rise 9mm when measured from the lowest point of the arch. The total widths of the backs at this point are 162mm and 165mm respectively. These are very similar figures. Yet the appearance of the two violins at this point of arching is very different, and this difference lies in the position of the lowest points of the arching. The Amati scoops down before rising and the actual arch occupies only 134mm of the 162mm width. The Guarneri has almost no scoop. The arching starts right from the edge and takes up 158mm of the 165mm width.

In figure 3 the two arching patterns given in the posters are copied in black. Immediately above them, in red, are computer-generated cycloid curves,

sized to match the arching, which are in all essentials identical to those that can be made with a wheel. They are produced from two variable figures – the height at the centre of the arch and the distance between the lowest points of the arch – just as the wheel-created curves can be varied by changing the distance of the hole from the wheel centre and the wheel's circumference. Both makers show individuality in the way they approach a 9mm arch in a space of rather more than 160mm. But, as the red lines indicate, both makers' styles can be matched with curtate cycloid curves. The difference between the two is thus not in the geometry of their arching but in their choice of how to use it.

If correct, the hypothesis proposed here – that the Cremonese makers obtained their variety by individual use of a common geometric construction – it should be possible to recreate the templates they used by drawing curtate cycloids of appropriate width and height and checking them against the originals. Figures 4–7 show archings from many Cremonese instruments of varied sizes. These are printed in black, while their corresponding templates are printed in red. Comparison between the actual archings and their geometric counterparts is easy. I have produced half-templates, ■



Figure 3a: Peter Guarneri of Venice violin, 1735 (illustrated above)





Figure 3b: Nicolò Amati 'Alard' violin, 1649



following Roger Hargrave's discussion of these in *The Working Methods of Guarneri del Gesù* (Giuseppe Guarneri del Gesù vol.II, Peter Biddulph, London 1998). For reasons of space the archings of the larger instruments are also confined to half.

I have not included the arch of the table at the bridge point, which seems to follow rules of its own, and have avoided instruments which are obviously distorted, although it has to be borne in mind that no old instrument is exactly the same shape as it was when it left the workbench.

Given that there does appear to be a link between the makers who represent the backbone of the Cremonese school and the use of curtate cycloids, it seems worthwhile to investigate the historical background of this apparently obscure curve, not only within the mathematical community but also among the general educated public in the 16th and 17th centuries. I have looked at all kinds of cycloid, since it is impossible to study one without being aware of the others.

While we know that the ancient Greeks had discovered cycloid curves, they did not have the mathematical knowledge to analyse them. The first significant use of cycloids was by the second-century astronomer Ptolemy. He proposed an earth-centred universe in which the heavenly bodies moved in epicycloids, which are the complex curves produced when a wheel is rotated around another wheel. His theory held for 1,300 years, and consequently the use and formation of cycloids was common knowledge to the educated during that time. However, as late as 1451, when Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa sought to investigate cycloids, he was still unable to establish a formula. The shapes were known, the interest was there, but the mathematical skills were lacking.


This was not a difficulty for the German painter Albrecht Dürer (1471–1528), whose concerns were more pragmatic. He was not a mathematical scholar in the sense of Pascal or Newton but, typically for his time, he believed in the close relationship of art and geometry. Between 1525 and his

death Dürer wrote extensively on geometry, but with the practical element in mind. Certainly he was aware of cycloid curves and even ventured into the more rarefied topic of epicycloids. His work was not intended to break intellectual ground; it was a practical and detailed explanation of how geometry could benefit artists and craftsmen.

By the end of the 16th century things had begun to change. Mathematics had started to catch up with the curve that was so easy to make and so hard to analyse. Up to this point those who had written about it had done so descriptively, and it was Galileo (1564–1642) who first used the term cycloid. His suggestion that a pure cycloid would make a suitable arch for a bridge was put into effect many years later in the construction of the Ponte di Mezzo over the Arno in Florence. Others also studied the curves, including Marin Mersenne (1588–1648) and Pascal (1623–62), who wrote his last mathematical work on cycloids and offered a prize for anyone who could devise formulae for certain properties of the shape. Christopher Wren (1632–1723) was among many prominent thinkers to attempt a solution, while Leibniz (1646–1716) and Newton (1643–1727) independently investigated the curious property of a cycloid inverted into a cup-like shape – regardless of where a ball is released on it, it always takes the same amount of time to reach the bottom.

By the end of the 17th century cycloid curves had been analysed and exploited in as many ways as the imagination of the time could devise. Among many examples, the Dutch physicist Christiaan Huygens had used their properties to develop clocks of remarkable accuracy, and a French engineer named Desargues proved their value as the basis for efficient gear systems. So it is not surprising to see the term emerge when, in 1726, Jonathan Swift poked fun at the Royal Society and scientists in general. He strands the luckless hero of *Gulliver's Travels* in a community whose prime concern is always mathematics, even in the way the food is cooked: 'In the first course, there was a shoulder of mutton cut into an equilateral triangle, a piece of beef into a rhomboides, and a pudding into a cycloid.'

Figure 4: Brothers Amati small violin, 1613



THE MATHEMATICS

If we confine ourselves to the path traced by a point along the radius of a circle rolling along a flat surface, there are three kinds of cycloid curve: the pure cycloid, curtate cycloid and prolate cycloid. These can all be expressed as the locus of a point P, at a distance h from the centre of a circle whose radius is a, and whose centre is O. The varying angle of the line OP in relation to the flat surface on which the wheel moves is represented by t.


Thus: $x = at - h \sin(t)$ $y = a - h \cos(t)$
When $h = a$ the result is a pure cycloid
When $h < a$ the result is a curtate cycloid
When $h > a$ the result is a prolate cycloid (a curve with loops, technically called cusps)

Information on cycloid curves is available on the internet, including the University of St Andrews site: www-groups.dcs.st-and.ac.uk/~history/Curves/Cycloid.html

knowledge, whether it was as a mathematical formula, an engineering tool or simply an easy and interesting way to create an ornamental shape. It seems to me that asking: How could they have been aware of such a recondite aspect of geometry? is invalid. The appropriate question is: How did we forget such a simple and elegant construction?

There are two ways to generate curtate cycloid curves. The first is the traditional method with a wheel. The second involves a computer programme that calculates and then draws the line a wheel would trace. To make the wheels I used an adjustable hole-cutter and 1/4 inch plywood. The hole-cutter is adjusted so that the circumference of the wheel it produces is the same as the width of the desired curve.

Figure 5: Stradivari 'Archinto' viola, 1669 (illustrated opposite)



Bridgewood & Neitzert Violin Shop



*Dealers, Makers and Repairers
specialising in
Early Stringed Instruments*

Selection of instruments and bows
Valuations for insurance
Wide range of strings and accessories
Mail Order Service

Opening Hours: Mon-Sat, 9am to 6pm

146 Stoke Newington Church Street, London N16 0UH, Tel: 0171 249 9398 Fax: 0171 275 9330



R. Ossenbrunner
Geigenbaumeister

a new address for
stringed instruments and their bows
baroque - classic/romantic - modern

old originals, rebuilding, new instruments

Reinhard Ossenbrunner now at:

Thebäerstraße 80 · D-50823 Cologne · Fon + 49 · 221 · 510 70 11 · Fax + 49 · 221 · 952 43 55

Verband deutscher Geigenbauer und Bogenmacher · Schweizer Verband der Geigenbauer und Bogenmacher

Figure 6: Andrea Amati cello, 1572





Figure 7: Guarneri 'del Gesù' 'Cannon' violin, 1743



The formula for this is: circumference/3.14 = diameter

So for a desired arching width of 157mm, a wheel of $157/3.14 = 50$ mm diameter would have to be cut. (Note that the width of the arching is measured from the lowest point of one side to the lowest point on the other, not from purfling to purfling.)

The height of the arching is produced by drilling a hole half the required distance from the centre of the wheel. In other words, to obtain a curve of 12mm height, the hole should be 6mm from the centre. Several holes can be drilled, at varying distances from the centre, to allow the same wheel to generate different arching heights over the same distance.

These measurements are for external archings, and the thickness of the plate is not included in the figures. This would mean that in working on a violin with a total arching height of 16mm, the thickness of the wood at the lowest part of the arch would have to be subtracted, giving an outside curve of 13mm if the wood was 3mm thick at the bottom of the arch. In practical terms I have found it convenient to cut the wheel slightly small and put an elastic band round it, which prevents slipping as the line is drawn.

The computer-generated curves are no different from those drawn by the wheel. Because the usual combination of Windows and printer cannot draw

smooth curves over precise distances, it was necessary to add two features. The first is a printer with PostScript, a system that can produce graphics with great accuracy. The optional second feature is a programme called GhostScript, which mediates between the original programme and the printer, showing the proposed curves on the monitor. With this set-up I can obtain exact results by entering the required width and height then simply pressing the print button.

For the purposes of this article I have confined my examples to the major Cremonese makers from Andrea Amati to Guarneri 'del Gesù'. Obviously there are areas that need more investigation. How far did the Venetian makers use curtate cycloids? The Montagnana 'Sleeping Beauty' cello (STRAD poster October 1993) certainly fits the curves closely. What about the schools of Turin, Naples and elsewhere? The one Stainer violin I checked did not fit the system.

It would be interesting to know whether the makers working in the latter half of the 18th century were aware of this method of making archings and decided to follow their own path, or if the system was forgotten after the death of 'del Gesù'. The indications seem to be that it had been lost – the tireless Count Cozio di Salabue (1755–1840) wrote at some length on the various styles of arching without

indicating any traditional construction that bound them together.

After the mid-18th century most makers copied their predecessors without fully understanding their working methods. This situation still exists today, although an impressive and growing body of work has emerged during recent years that seeks to establish the technique of the Cremonese makers. The common factor revealed in this research is a fast, flexible and pragmatic system of work, permitting a variety of styles within a given framework. Curtate cycloid curves seem to me to be very much in this efficient and practical tradition. □

Thanks to Peter Biddulph, John Dilworth, Roger Hargrave, Dr Andre Larson of the Shrine to Music Museum at the University of South Dakota, and Dr Stephen Mann of the Department of Computer Sciences at the University of Waterloo, Ontario

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Two weeks after completing this article, I became aware of the late Tullio Pigoli's work on a similar theme in the April 1984 issue of *Liuteria*. Although I arrived at my conclusions independently of his thorough and detailed study, I would like to acknowledge that he was the first to analyse arching in this way, an especially impressive achievement given how few accurate arching patterns were available even as recently as 15 years ago.